

APR 28 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALSJUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Nos. 09-90281 and 10-90050

ORDER**KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge:

A pro se litigant alleges that a bankruptcy judge made improper rulings by “corrupt[ing] and abus[ing] . . . common definitions in the Law.” These charges must be dismissed as merits-related. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982).

Complainant further claims that the judge is conspiring to deprive bankruptcy litigants of their rights. She asserts that “survivors of Gulag fare better than [sic] survivors of Bankruptcy” where the judge sits. Such general complaints about the judge’s handling of a case come nowhere close to describing misconduct. Complainant attaches many pages of materials to her complaint, including an extensive curriculum vitae, but presents absolutely no proof that the judge committed misconduct. Adverse rulings certainly aren’t enough. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). These charges must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-

Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.